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## Introduction

In 2008 the Coalition for Racial Equality and Rights (CRER, previously known as Glasgow Anti-Racist Alliance) produced a report entitled "State of the Nation: Race and Racism in Scotland". This report recorded a wide range of statistical information broken down by ethnicity, highlighting evidence that Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) people still experience substantial inequalities across many areas of life in Scotland including education, employment, housing, health and criminal justice.

The original intention was to publish State of the Nation as a biannual report. However, this proved difficult due to varying availability of data and the challenges of compiling such large amounts of information every two years. Instead, from 2012 onwards, State of the Nation will be published as an online resource updated via individual themed reports on an on-going basis.

State of the Nation: Education compiles existing data from the Scottish Government and Scottish Funding Council for Higher and Further Education into a single report on racial equality within the Education sector in Scotland. This data has not previously been publicly available in a single volume with an overarching analysis identifying trends in racial equality. The aim of this report and forthcoming issues of State of the Nation is to make evidence on race equality in Scotland easily accessible to all.

Data sources:

School Data: Scottish Government School Pupil Census \& Leaver's Survey

Further Education Data: kindly supplied by the Scottish Funding Council for Higher and Further Education (SFC)

Higher Education Data: kindly supplied by SFC

## General note on the use of the median

Throughout this report, many tables relate data from the various ethnic groups to a median value. Where this has been done, the calculation of the median is based not upon individuals, but upon the value from each ethnic group. For example, for ethnic groups $A, B, C, D, E$ and $F$ where the values are $2,2,3,4,4,5$, the median value is 3.5 , representing that point at which half the values of the ethnic groups lie above and half below.

## Ethnic Categories

These were based upon the categories used within the 2001 and 2011 population census. Within these censuses there were various levels of subdivisions of ethnic groups, e.g. Asian/British/Scottish: Pakistani, Indian, Bangladeshi, Chinese, Other and Black/British/Scottish: African, Caribbean, Other.

Within the text, when referring to an ethnic category, the description has been simplified for ease of reading. Thus, Asian/British/Scottish was reduced to Asian, Black/British/Scottish African is reduced to African. It should be borne in mind that when such terms are used they give no indication of nationality or place of birth. They were merely convenient shorthand to describe ethnic categories which are used in census and other forms.

School census also provides data on three traveller categories, they are additional sub-categories within the White category. The Scottish Funding Council does not hold information on these categories, thus within Higher education and Further Education data they will form part of the White-Other sub-category.

## School Pupil Census vs. Population Census

This section draws a comparison between the School Pupil Census 2002 for the school years (S1-4) and the population census of 2001.

For this comparison only students from levels S1-4 are used; these being the compulsory school years they will provide the most accurate reflection of the ethnic break down of Scotland's youth.

It might have been preferable to have utilised the 2001 School Pupil Census; regrettably the data for this year were no longer available.

Pupil Numbers and Percentages S1-4 Year 2002

|  |  | Numbers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| White - UK | 217,247 | $89.3 \%$ |
| White - Other | 3,517 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Mixed | 920 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Asian - Indian | 607 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Asian - Pakistani | 2,251 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Asian - Bangladeshi | 119 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian - Chinese | 645 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Asian - Other | 241 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Black - Caribbean | 25 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Black - African | 220 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Black - Other | 126 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Occupational Traveller | 12 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Gypsy / Traveller | 19 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Other Traveller | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Other | 726 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Not known / Not disclosed | 16,620 | $6.8 \%$ |

http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/Browse/School-Education/PubPupilCensus

School Pupil Census S1-4 2002 vs. Population Census 2001

|  | Percentages |  | Pupil Numbers |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S1-S4 2002 | 2001 cen- <br> sus | S1-S4 2002 | 2001 census* | \% Difference |
| White - UK | 95.84 | 95.47 | 217,247 | 216,407 | 0 |
| White - Other | 1.57 | 2.52 | 3,548 | 5,712 | -38 |
| Mixed | 0.41 | 0.25 | 920 | 567 | 62 |
| Asian - Indian | 0.27 | 0.3 | 607 | 680 | -11 |
| Asian - Pakistani | 0.99 | 0.63 | 2,251 | 1,428 | 58 |
| Asian - Bangladeshi | 0.05 | 0.04 | 119 | 91 | 31 |
| Asian - Chinese | 0.28 | 0.32 | 645 | 725 | -11 |
| Asian - Other | 0.11 | 0.12 | 241 | 272 | -11 |
| Black - Caribbean | 0.01 | 0.04 | 25 | 91 | -72 |
| Black - African | 0.10 | 0.1 | 220 | 227 | -3 |
| Black - Other | 0.06 | 0.02 | 126 | 45 | 178 |
| Other | 0.32 | 0.19 | 726 | 431 | 69 |
| Total |  |  | 226,675 |  |  |

Difference: S1-4 pupil numbers are subtracted from 2001 Census pupil numbers, the difference is then expressed as a percentage of the 2001 Census pupil numbers.
http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/Browse/School-Education/PubPupilCensus
*Calculated using 2001 census percentages and total pupil numbers (226675)
Pupil percentages are calculated after exclusion of "unknown" category

There appears to be considerable variation between the 2001 Scottish Population Census and the 2002 S1-4 pupil School Pupil Census.

Given the level of variation between the Population and School Pupil Censuses the Population Census has not been used as baseline data for any part of this report.

## Introduction

Data for the pupil census were collected in September of the school year.

The data were restricted to State Schools, the census for the independent school sector did not provide data on ethnicity.

Ethnicity categories broadly followed the following pattern:


School census also provides data on three traveller categories. SFC does not hold information on these categories.
Where the single word White, Asian, or Black is used to delineate a category this indicates the aggregation of all the subcategories under a single heading.

## Education

## Pupil Exclusions

Under Circulars 10/93 and 1/95, local authorities are required each year to collect certain statistics from schools on exclusions. The statistics relate to half-days of temporary exclusions and number of pupils removed from the register (previously known as 'permanent' exclusions). The exclusions include Primary, Secondary and Special Schools. The data were a two-year average of exclusion rate per 1,000 pupils. This was used to reduce the instability in rates of exclusion due to small numbers in several minority ethnic groups. The data refer to all State Schools and was not restricted to Secondary Schools.

Cases of Exclusion (2009/11)

|  | Total ex- <br> clusions | Of which, <br> removals | Pupils <br> exclud- <br> ed | Total pupil <br> numbers | Rates per 1,000 pupils ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | exclusions | pupils |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 26,844 | 60 | 14,903 | 671,827 | 42 | 23 |
| White - UK | 25,070 | 54 | 13,808 | 602,896 | 44 | 24 |
| White - Other | 368 | 1 | 231 | 17,548 | 21 | 14 |
| Mixed | 176 | . | 113 | 7,075 | 27 | 17 |
| Asian - Indian | 29 | . | 19 | 3,100 | 10 | 7 |
| Asian - Pakistani | 173 | . | 124 | 10,343 | 19 | 13 |
| Asian - Bangladeshi | 9 | . | 5 | 594 | 14 | 8 |
| Asian - Chinese | 9 | . | 9 | 2,281 | 3 | 3 |
| Asian - Other | 37 | . | 26 | 3,204 | 14 | 9 |
| Black - Caribbean | 8 | . | 5 | 135 | 59 | 37 |
| Black - African | 95 | 1 | 59 | 3,374 | 27 | 17 |
| Black - Other | 14 | . | 9 | 516 | 26 | 18 |
| Occupational Traveller | 2 | . | 2 | 206 | 10 | 10 |
| Gypsy/Traveller | 35 | . | 20 | 548 | 57 | 33 |
| Other Traveller | 6 | . | 3 | 60 | 175 | 73 |
| Other | 93 | 1 | 56 | 3,203 | 31 | 20 |
| Not known/Not dis- <br> closed | 549 | 3 | 300 | 16,744 | 35 | 20 |
| Data not available | 171 | . | 114 |  |  |  |

http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/Browse/School-Education/exclusiondatasets
(1)Pupil numbers as at September 2010.
(2)Exclusion rates per 1,000 pupils calculated using an average for 2009/10 and 2010/11. Exclusions where data could not be matched to census ethnicity information were not included in the calculation of the overall rate.

Average Exclusion per 1,000 pupils

http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/Browse/School-Education/exclusiondatasets

Notes:
This analysis may be affected by the two per cent of pupils in the school census for whom ethnicity is not disclosed.

Three groups, Caribbean, Gypsy/Traveller and Other-Traveller stand out. Notably the Occupational-Traveller rate is rather lower than the other two Traveller groups.

These three groups were the lowest in terms of pupil numbers.

## Education

Progression from $4^{\text {th }}$ Year 2009/10 to $5^{\text {th }}$ Year 2010/11
Pupil Numbers

|  | S4 2009/10 |  | S5 2010/11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbers | Percentage | Numbers | Percentage |
| White - UK | 52,969 | 94.0 | 43,712 | 93.5 |
| White - Other | 978 | 1.7 | 911 | 1.9 |
| Mixed | 422 | 0.7 | 365 | 0.8 |
| Asian - Indian | 183 | 0.3 | 182 | 0.4 |
| Asian - Pakistani | 742 | 1.3 | 681 | 1.5 |
| Asian - Bangladeshi | 36 | 0.1 | 33 | 0.1 |
| Asian - Chinese | 192 | 0.3 | 189 | 0.4 |
| Asian - Other | 199 | 0.4 | 192 | 0.4 |
| Black - Caribbean | 9 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.0 |
| Black - African | 181 | 0.3 | 180 | 0.4 |
| Black - Other | 31 | 0.1 | 27 | 0.1 |
| Occupational Traveller | 15 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.0 |
| Gypsy / Traveller | 29 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.0 |
| Other Traveller | 9 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| Other | 379 | 0.7 | 255 | 0.5 |
| Total known | 56,374 | 100.0 | 46,769 | 100.0 |
| Not known / Not disclosed | 926 | 1.6 | 696 | 1.5 |

Percentage is of known ethnicity
Pupil Progression

|  | Percentage | Relation to median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian - Indian | 99.5 | 8 |
| Black - African | 99.4 | 8 |
| Asian - Chinese | 98.4 | 7 |
| Asian - Other | 96.5 | 5 |
| White - Other | 93.1 | 1 |
| Asian - Pakistani | 91.8 | 0 |
| Asian - Bangladeshi | 91.7 | 0 |
| Black - Other | 87.1 | -5 |
| Occupational Traveller | 86.7 | -5 |
| Mixed | 86.5 | -5 |
| White - UK | 82.5 | -9 |
| Other | 67.3 | -24 |
| Gypsy / Traveller | 44.8 | -47 |
| Median | 91.7 |  |

Black Caribbean \& Other Traveller were excluded due to small sample size.

## Education

There was considerable variation in rates of progression to S5. Indian, African, Chinese and Asian-Other all showed higher rates of progression.

Black-Other, Occupational and Gypsy Travellers, Mixed, White-UK \& All-Other all showed reduced levels of progression.

## 2010/11 Leavers Survey

Information on the destination of leavers from publicly funded schools was provided to the Scottish Government by Skills Development Scotland (SDS). SDS collected information on where each young person, they had identified as being a school leaver, was during September 2011 (initial destination) and the March 2012 (follow-up destination).

The initial destinations data provide information on the outcomes for young people approximately three months after leaving school while the follow up survey provides information on the outcomes of young people approximately nine months after leaving school.

These collections should be seen as complementary to one another but it should be noted that various factors may affect the results at different time periods.

## Tariff Score

The data were based upon the Unified Points Score Scale which is an extended version of the Universities and Colleges Admissions Service (UCAS) Scottish Tariff points system.

This system allocates tariff points to each course/award. A full list of courses, awards and corresponding tariff points can be found on the UCAS website. The tariff score of a pupil was calculated by simply adding together all the tariff points accumulated from all the different course levels and awards he/ she attained.

## Tariff Scores: Average, Trend, Difference from Median

|  | Average Tariff Score |  |  | Trend |  |  |  | Variation from Median |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $08 / 09$ | $09 / 10$ | $10 / 11$ | $08 / 09$ | $09 / 10$ | $10 / 11$ | $08 / 09$ | $09 / 10$ | $10 / 11$ |  |
| White - UK | 356 | 372 | 384 | 100 | 104 | 108 | -24 | -18 | -27 |  |
| White - Other | 348 | 360 | 389 | 100 | 103 | 112 | -32 | -30 | -22 |  |
| Mixed | 418 | 443 | 449 | 100 | 106 | 107 | 38 | 54 | 39 |  |
| Asian - Indian | 482 | 483 | 444 | 100 | 100 | 92 | 102 | 94 | 34 |  |
| Asian - Pakistani | 402 | 400 | 430 | 100 | 100 | 107 | 22 | 11 | 20 |  |
| Asian - Chinese | 576 | 565 | 614 | 100 | 98 | 107 | 196 | 176 | 204 |  |
| Asian - Other | 438 | 442 | 450 | 100 | 101 | 103 | 58 | 53 | 40 |  |
| Black | 358 | 379 | 391 | 100 | 106 | 109 | -22 | -11 | -20 |  |
| All other categories | 306 | 226 | 300 | 100 | 74 | 98 | -74 | -164 | -111 |  |
| Not known/ Not Dis- <br> closed | 287 | 277 | 321 | 100 | 97 | 112 | -93 | -113 | -90 |  |
| Median | 380 | 389.5 | 410.5 | 100 | 103 | 108 |  |  |  |  |

http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/Browse/School-Education/leavedestla/follleavedestat Some categories have been grouped together due to low numbers (between 100-200 leavers). All-other categories includes "Occupational, Gypsy and Other travellers" as well as the "Other" category Trend: percentage of 08/09 Average Tariff Score

Tariff Scores: Cumulative Percentages for Highest Qualification Obtained by Leavers (2010-11)


Example: The "SCQF Level 4" line for All-Other meets the cumulative percentage line at 30\%, thus 30\% of AllOther students are studying at "SCQF Level 4" or below.
http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/Browse/School-Education/sqala/sqalasupp/sqala2012 All-Other includes: Black - Other, Bangladeshi, Caribbean, Occupational/Gypsy/Other traveller \&All-Other In the original table percentages based on numbers of less than 5 were suppressed (see Notes)

Notes:
The two datasets do not record the three "Black" categories (African, Caribbean, Black-Other) in an identical manner.

The original data for cumulative tariff scores were disaggregated both by tariff score and by the number of qualifications gained at the highest level. Thus for each SCQF level the data were presented as students who had gained $1-2,3-4,5+$ exams at that level.

To present the above simplified format it was necessary to combine these columns. In order to do so suppressed values were assigned a percentage according to the total percentage short of 100. E.g. Black-African percentage total was 90.4 with 6 missing values (approximately 17 individuals). Each value was assigned a value of (100-90.4)/6.

In the original table for cumulative tariff scores suppressed values were concentrated at level 4 and below; 30 values suppressed below level 4 , only 4 values above level 4.

## Average Tariff

The general trend in average tariff scores was increasing from 08/09 to 10/11. However, in 2010-11 the Indian category appeared to be moving in a different direction with a fall to an average tariff score of 92.

Difference from median gives constant results over all three terms (this trend is consistent if Not Known category excluded).

- White-UK, White-Other, Black, \&All-Other are all below the median
- Indian, Pakistani, Chinese, A-Other are all above the median
- Chinese are consistently markedly above the median
- Other are consistently markedly below the median


## Highest Qualification

Trend is similar to average Tariff

- White-UK, White-Other and All-Other had the highest percentages within Level 4 or below. These were the only categories to have less than $60 \%$ within level 6\&7.
- African had a higher performance than Average Tariff results, suggesting that the low Black category score in average tariffs may be as a result of a lower score in the B-Other or Caribbean categories.
- Chinese had markedly higher scores.


## Leavers' Initial Destination

The data were collected in September 2011.The following definitions were utilised by SDS.

## Higher Education:

Includes leavers following degree courses, courses, courses for the education and training of teachers, HND (Higher National Diploma) or HNC (Higher National Certificate) and higher level courses for professional qualifications. It also includes programmes at a level higher than the standard of the National Qualifications, i.e. above SCQF level 7. Leavers with a deferred, unconditional place in higher education have also been included in this category.

## Further Education:

Includes leavers undertaking full-time education which is not higher education and who are no longer on a school roll. This may include National Qualifications.

## Training:

Includes leavers who are on a training course and in receipt of an allowance or grant, such as the national training programme, Get Ready for Work. It also includes leavers who are on local authority - or third sector - funded training programmes who are in receipt of a training allowance.

## Employment:

Includes those who are employed and in receipt of payment from their employers. It includes young people undertaking training in employment through national training programmes such as Modern Apprenticeships.

## Voluntary Work:

Includes those undertaking voluntary work, with or without financial allowance, who are not "unemployed and actively seeking", as per the unemployed definition. Included in this category would be individuals who are on a gap year, those participating in Project Scotland/CSV or other voluntary programmes.

## Positive Destination:

Higher education, further education, training, voluntary work, employment \& activity agreements.

## Activity Agreements:

Includes those who where there is an agreement between a young person and a trusted professional that the young person will take part in a programme of learning and activity that helps them become ready for formal learning or employment.

## Unemployed and seeking employment or training:

Includes those who are registered with Skills Development Scotland and are known by them to be seeking employment or training. This is based on regular contact between Skills Development Scotland and the client. This does not refer to the definition of "unemployed" used by the Benefits Agency to calculate published unemployment rates. Young people participating in Personal/Skills Development (see below) who do not fit in any of the existing categories are counted in this category.

Personal/Skills Development:
Leavers who participate in learning opportunities/personal and social development activities with the aim of improving their confidence and employability. These programmes can be viewed as a stepping stone towards a positive destination. They are often delivered by community learning and development or third sector organisations.

Initial School Leaver Destinations (2011) as Difference from Median

|  | Median | White UK | White Other | Mixed | Asian Indian | Asian Pakistani | Asian Chinese | Asian Other | Black | Other | Not known/ Disclosed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher Education | 45.8 | -10.4 | -7.3 | -1.7 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 28.4 | 5.3 | 1.7 | -17.7 | -15.9 |
| Further Education | 27.9 | -1.0 | 5.7 | -0.2 | -6.1 | 1.5 | -13.0 | -3.4 | 0.2 | 13.3 | 6.9 |
| HE /FE combined | 72.3 | -10.0 | -0.2 | -0.5 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 16.8 | 3.3 | 3.3 | -3.0 | -7.6 |
| Training | 3.3 | 2.5 | -0.2 | -1.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | * | 0.0 | * | -1.1 | 2.1 |
| Employment | 12.8 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.0 | -3.8 | -7.6 | -2.5 | -3.3 | -0.5 | 3.2 |
| Voluntary Work | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | * | * | * | -0.5 | * | -0.5 | * | 0.1 |
| Activity Agreements | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | * | 0.0 | * | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | * | * |
| Unemployed Seeking | 9.8 | -0.2 | -1.5 | 0.2 | * | -2.1 | * | -2.2 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 1.3 |
| Unemployed Not Seeking | 1.2 | 0.1 | -0.3 | * | * | 0.0 | * | * | * | * | 0.3 |
| Unknown | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | * | * | * | -0.3 | * | * | * | * |
| Positive Destinations | 89.5 | -0.5 | 0.5 | -1.0 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 5.3 | 1.3 | -2.6 | -4.9 | -2.5 |
| Total Leavers (=100\%) |  | 50,230 | 908 | 426 | 142 | 633 | 194 | 184 | 221 | 228 | 907 |

http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/Browse/School-Education/dsintleav
Data is in percentages

* Percentages based on fewer than 5 individuals were suppressed

Not Known/Not disclosed (Ethnic Category): 907 (1.8\%) of leavers
Other includes Gypsy/Travellers, Other Travellers \& Occupational Travellers
Median is by ethnic category not individuals

Pattern for Higher Education (HE) / Further Education (FE) access follows pattern for Tariff Score difference from the mean.

- Exception being the Black category which, in contrast to tariff scores lies above the median.
- White-UK has relatively low percentages in Higher Education.

Chinese and Indian categories show relatively greater proportions in HE than FE.

- These are the only two categories in which the percentage in HE is more than double that in FE.
- Indian HE 51\% FE 22\%.
- Chinese HE 74\% FE 15\%.

White-Other and All-Other have relatively high percentages in FE.

Indian and Chinese appear to have relatively higher percentages experiencing positive outcomes.

White-UK has relatively high percentages in employment and training.

Other and Black appear to have relatively lower percentages experiencing positive outcomes.

Other and Black have higher proportions of leavers in the unemployed categories.

Leavers' Follow up Survey
The data were collected in March 2012.

## Percentages in a Positive Follow-up Destination

|  | Percentage in a positive destination |  |  | Deviation from Median |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 08/09 | 09/10 | 10/11 | 08/09 | 09/10 | 10/11 |
| White - UK | 85.2 | 85.2 | 87.2 | -3 | -3 | -1 |
| White - Other | 87 | 85.5 | 89.2 | -2 | -2 | 1 |
| Mixed | 87.9 | 87.5 | 87.7 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| Asian - Indian | 95.4 | 92.9 | 94.3 | 7 | 5 | 6 |
| Asian - Pakistani | 89.1 | 88.2 | 89.7 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Asian - Chinese | 96.5 | 95.3 | 94.3 | 8 | 7 | 6 |
| Asian - Other | 89.5 | 91.6 | 88 | 1 | 4 | -1 |
| Black | 89.6 | 90.7 | 91.7 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| All other categories | 81 | 76.8 | 81.7 | -8 | -11 | -7 |
| Not known/ Not disclosed | 76.9 | 79.9 | 84.4 | -12 | -8 | -4 |
| Median | 89 | 88 | 89 |  |  |  |

http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/Browse/School-Education/leavedestla/follleavedestat Some categories have been grouped together due to small numbers
Other includes: Occupational/Gypsy/Other Travellers

Broadly the Asian categories appear to do better than the other categories with an improvement in outcome for the Black category (this latter represents a real increase in the percentages of positive outcomes from the initial survey).

The All-Other category appears to have a notably lower level of positive outcomes.

As with the tariff score and initial destination survey the Not Known category has below median results. If the majority of the Not Known category were to come from one of the smaller ethnic groups then it may hide a significant problem.

## Further Education



## Introduction

The FE student data were provided by the Scottish Funding Council for Higher and Further Education (SFC). The data cover the academic year 2010-11.

The data were restricted to students who were resident in Scotland prior to starting their course. It should be noted that, in contrast to the "Leavers' study" (above), the data included all FE students regardless of the course qualification level.

Within the following sections of the report there are various references to results lying within $\pm 5$ of the median or another given value. This should not be taken to indicate statistical significance.

## Gender

Student Numbers by Gender

|  | Total Male | Total Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White (Scottish) | 96,336 | 114,075 |
| White (English) | 4,732 | 6,331 |
| White (Welsh) | 234 | 257 |
| White (Irish) | 589 | 664 |
| White Other | 5,033 | 8,982 |
| Mixed | 525 | 641 |
| Indian | 801 | 620 |
| Pakistani | 1,518 | 1,744 |
| Bangladeshi | 172 | 129 |
| Chinese | 466 | 888 |
| Asian Other | 1,131 | 1,261 |
| Caribbean | 115 | 89 |
| African | 1,471 | 1,676 |
| Black Other | 159 | 159 |
| Other | 608 | 706 |
| Not Known | 1,624 | 1,847 |
| Total | 115,514 | 140,069 |

Gender Expressed as a Percentage Within Each Ethnic Group

| Male |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chinese | 34 | 64 | Fifference |
| White Other | 36 | 64 | 30 |
| White (English) | 43 | 57 | 28 |
| Mixed | 45 | 55 | 14 |
| White (Scottish) | 46 | 54 | 10 |
| Other | 46 | 54 | 8 |
| Pakistani | 47 | 53 | 8 |
| African | 47 | 53 | 7 |
| Asian Other | 47 | 53 | 6 |
| White (Irish) | 47 | 53 | 6 |
| Not Known | 47 | 53 | 6 |
| White (Welsh) | 48 | 52 | 6 |
| Black Other | 50 | 50 | 4 |
| Indian | 56 | 44 | 0 |
| Caribbean | 56 | 44 | 12 |
| Bangladeshi | 57 | 43 | 12 |

Majority of students: female/ male

## Differences in Percentages Between Male \& Female Students

|  | $16-18$ | 19 to 24 | 25 to 64 | 65 and over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White (Scottish) | 2 | 4 | 19 | 29 |
| White (English) | 5 | 0 | 20 | 25 |
| White (Welsh) | 15 | 60 | 19 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| White (Irish) | 2 | 8 | 12 | 38 |
| Any other white background | 10 | 26 | 31 | 73 |
| Any mixed background | 9 | 5 | 17 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Indian | 8 | 51 | 11 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Pakistani | 2 | 13 | 21 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Bangladeshi | ${ }^{*}$ | 13 | 8 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Chinese | 2 | 13 | 49 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Any other Asian background | 24 | 18 | 19 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Caribbean | $*$ | ${ }^{*}$ | 2 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| African | 4 | 8 | 10 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Black Other | 26 | 45 | 9 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Other | 1 | 12 | 13 | ${ }^{*}$ |
| A |  |  |  |  |

Where difference between male and female students > $2 \%$ data is colour coded: Female Male
Example: White Scottish 16 to $18 ; 51 \%$ were male \& $49 \%$ were female, thus value is 2

* LESS than 50 students within that age cohort

There was considerable variation in student gender balance between ethnic groups.

- The majority of students were female in 11 of the 15 ethnic categories.
- Chinese, White-English/Welsh/Other, Mixed showed the highest female bias (> 10\%).
- Caribbean, Indian, Bangladeshi showed strong male bias (> 10\%).

There was variation in gender balance between age cohorts within Ethnicities.

Difference of $\geq 5 \%$ in student numbers between genders (by ethnic groups)

- 16-18: 2 male bias, 4 female bias.
- 19-24: 7 male bias, 3 female bias.
- 25-64: 0 male bias, 14 female bias.

Of the three age cohorts $25-64$ showed the highest level of gender bias.
This bias was strongly female (Caribbean excepted).

- Pakistani, Indian, Asian-Other showed a male bias until the 25-64 cohort when bias becomes female.
- White-Scottish/English/Irish did not show a strong bias until the 25-64 female bias.
- Mixed \& White-Other showed a consistent female bias across age groups.

Age
Student Numbers

|  | $16-18$ | 19 to 24 | 25 to 64 | 65 and over | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White (Scottish) | 59,978 | 46,279 | 97,549 | 6,605 | 210,411 |
| White (English) | 2,001 | 1,765 | 6,664 | 633 | 11,063 |
| White (Welsh) | 80 | 76 | 304 | 5 | 465 |
| White (Irish) | 132 | 194 | 836 | 68 | 1,230 |
| White Other | 1,168 | 2,810 | 9,922 | 110 | 14,010 |
| Mixed | 338 | 342 | 493 | 5 | 1,178 |
| Indian | 144 | 466 | 794 | 11 | 1,415 |
| Pakistani | 761 | 897 | 1,583 | 10 | 3,251 |
| Bangladeshi | 38 | 99 | 150 | 0 | 287 |
| Chinese | 174 | 356 | 814 | 5 | 1,349 |
| Asian Other | 302 | 490 | 1,571 | 11 | 2,374 |
| Caribbean | 17 | 38 | 142 | 0 | 197 |
| African | 258 | 563 | 2,300 | 7 | 3,128 |
| Black Other | 30 | 48 | 222 | 0 | 300 |
| Other | 133 | 201 | 971 | 5 | 1,310 |
| Not Known | 1,032 | 564 | 1,676 | 215 | 3,487 |
| Totals | 66,586 | 55,188 | 125,991 | 7,690 | 255,455 |

Ethnic Breakdown of Age Cohorts

|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ to $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ to $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ and over | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White (Scottish) | 90.1 | 83.9 | 77.4 | 85.9 | 82.4 |
| White (English) | 3.0 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 8.2 | 4.3 |
| White (Welsh) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| White (lrish) | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| White Other | 1.8 | 5.1 | 7.9 | 1.4 | 5.5 |
| Mixed | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Indian | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Pakistani | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 1.3 |
| Bangladeshi* | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Chinese | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Asian Other | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
| Caribbean | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| African | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| Black Other * | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| All Other | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Not Known | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 1.4 |

*These groups have low numbers in one or more of the first two age cohorts

Age Breakdown of Ethnic Groups

|  | $16-18$ | 19 to 24 | 25 to 64 | 65 and over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White (Scottish) | 29 | 22 | 46 | 3 |
| White (English) | 18 | 16 | 60 | 6 |
| White (Welsh) | 17 | 16 | 65 | 1 |
| White (Irish) | 11 | 16 | 68 | 6 |
| White Other | 8 | 20 | 71 | 1 |
| Mixed | 29 | 29 | 42 | 0 |
| Indian | 10 | 33 | 56 | 1 |
| Pakistani | 23 | 28 | 49 | 0 |
| Bangladeshi* | 13 | 34 | 52 | 0 |
| Chinese | 13 | 26 | 60 | 0 |
| Asian Other | 13 | 21 | 66 | 0 |
| Caribbean* | 9 | 19 | 72 | 0 |
| African | 8 | 18 | 74 | 0 |
| Black Other* | 10 | 16 | 74 | 0 |
| All Other | 10 | 15 | 74 | 0 |
| Not Known | 30 | 16 | 48 | 6 |
| Median | 13 | 20 | 63 | 0 |

*These groups have low numbers in one or more of the first two age cohorts
NB: The values in this table were used to calculate the following table.

Percentage Difference From the Median Age Structure in Ethnic Groups

|  | $16-18$ | 19 to 24 | 25 to 64 | 65 and over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White (Scottish) | 16 | 2 | -16 | 3 |
| White (English) | 5 | -4 | -3 | 5 |
| White (Welsh) | 4 | -3 | 3 | 1 |
| White (Irish) | -2 | -4 | 5 | 5 |
| White Other | -4 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Mixed | 16 | 9 | -21 | 0 |
| Indian | -3 | 13 | -7 | 0 |
| Pakistani | 11 | 8 | -14 | 0 |
| Bangladeshi* | 0 | 15 | -11 | 0 |
| Chinese | 0 | 7 | -3 | 0 |
| Any other Asian | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Caribbean* | -4 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| African | -5 | -2 | 11 | 0 |
| Black Other* | -3 | -4 | 11 | 0 |
| All Other | -3 | -4 | 11 | 0 |
| Not Known | 17 | -3 | -15 | 6 |

*These groups have low numbers in one or more of the first two age cohorts
Values were calculated from the previous table "Age Structure of Ethnic Groups"
Example: 29\% of White Scottish were 16 to 18 , median value for this age cohort was $13 \%$ therefore the difference was $16 \%$.

## Notes:

Four ethnic groups have low numbers of students $(\leq 50)$ in one or more of the first two age cohorts.

Only five ethnic groups within the 65 \& over age cohort exceed 50 students.

There was variation in the ethnic breakdown between age cohorts.

All ethnic groups showed a peak in attendance within the 25-64 age group, though the percentage within each ethnic group varied from 42-74\%.

There was evidence of variation in age structure within ethnic groups.

- Increased representation relative to the median percentages ( $>5 \%$ ). NB, these are percentages within, not between, ethnic groups.

16-18:

- Relatively greater presence within White-Scottish, Pakistani, Mixed.
- Relatively lower presence within White-Other, African.

19-24:

- Relatively greater presence within Mixed, Indian, Pakistani, Chinese, Bangladeshi.

25-64:

- Relatively greater presence within White-Other, Caribbean, African, Black-Other, All-Other (pattern appears consistent regardless of sample size).
- Relatively lower presence within White-Scottish, Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Mixed.


## Education

## Drop-out

Data were supplied by the Scottish Funding Council. The data includes all students who dropped out from their course and was not restricted to those who had completed a minimum of $25 \%$ of their course.

Drop-out Number \& Percentages

|  | Dropped <br> Out | Total | \% Dropped <br> Out | Deviation <br> from Median |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caribbean | 58 | 210 | 28 | 10 |  |
| Any other Asian background | 598 | 2,392 | 25 | 7 |  |
| African | 710 | 3,136 | 23 | 5 |  |
| Pakistani | 713 | 3,263 | 22 | 4 |  |
| Other | 285 | 1,330 | 21 | 4 |  |
| Indian | 294 | 1,429 | 21 | 3 |  |
| Chinese | 280 | 1,363 | 21 | 3 |  |
| Mixed | 215 | 1,164 | 18 | 1 |  |
| White Other | 2,383 | 14,015 | 17 | -1 |  |
| White (Welsh) | 80 | 485 | 16 | -1 |  |
| Bangladeshi | 50 | 315 | 16 | -2 |  |
| White (Scottish) | 32,946 | 210,411 | 16 | -2 |  |
| Black Other | 48 | 329 | 15 | -3 |  |
| White (Irish) | 176 | 1,241 | 14 | -4 |  |
| White (English) | 1,520 | 11,063 | 14 | -4 |  |
| Not Known | 342 | 3,471 | 10 | -8 |  |
| Median |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 40,356 | 255,617 | 18 |  |  |

Drop-out Disaggregated by Gender

| Drop out by Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dropout numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Student numbers | $\%$ dropout |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | $\%$ Male | $\%$ Female | Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| White (Scottish) | 14,257 | 18,689 | 96,336 | 114,075 | 15 | 16 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| White (English) | 604 | 916 | 4,732 | 6,331 | 13 | 14 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| White (Welsh) | 34 | 46 | 234 | 257 | 15 | 18 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| White (Irish) | 76 | 100 | 589 | 664 | 13 | 15 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| White Other | 888 | 1,495 | 5,033 | 8,982 | 18 | 17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mixed | 90 | 125 | 525 | 641 | 17 | 20 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indian | 184 | 110 | 801 | 620 | 23 | 18 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pakistani | 337 | 376 | 1,518 | 1,744 | 22 | 22 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangladeshi | 36 | 14 | 172 | 129 | 21 | 11 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese | 96 | 184 | 466 | 888 | 21 | 21 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian Other | 334 | 264 | 1,131 | 1,261 | 30 | 21 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caribbean | 33 | 25 | 115 | 89 | 29 | 28 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| African | 354 | 356 | 1,471 | 1,676 | 24 | 21 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black Other | 24 | 24 | 159 | 159 | 15 | 15 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Other | 155 | 130 | 608 | 706 | 25 | 18 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Known | 132 | 210 | 1,624 | 1,847 | 8 | 11 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median |  |  |  |  | 19 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Drop-out Percentages were calculated within genders, not for all students of that ethnicity
Gender with highest percentage of drop-outs (difference > 3\%): Female
Percentages Dropped out Disaggregated by Age

|  | Percentage Drop Out |  |  | Deviation from Median |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $16-18$ | $19-24$ | $25-64$ | $16-18$ | $19-24$ | $25-64$ |
| White (Scottish) | 21 | 19 | 12 | 0 | -3 | -4 |
| White (English) | 23 | 17 | 11 | 3 | -5 | -5 |
| White (Welsh) | 25 | 21 | 14 | 5 | 0 | -2 |
| White (Irish) | 24 | 15 | 13 | 4 | -6 | -3 |
| White Other | 18 | 19 | 17 | -3 | -3 | 1 |
| Mixed | 22 | 20 | 14 | 2 | -1 | -2 |
| Indian | 26 | 27 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 0 |
| Pakistani | 20 | 25 | 21 | -1 | 3 | 6 |
| Bangladeshi | 13 | 24 | 15 | -7 | 3 | -1 |
| Chinese | 14 | 22 | 22 | -7 | 0 | 6 |
| Asian Other | 25 | 26 | 25 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Caribbean | 29 | 26 | 30 | 9 | 5 | 15 |
| African | 17 | 27 | 22 | -3 | 6 | 6 |
| Black Other | 20 | 15 | 16 | 0 | -7 | 0 |
| All Other | 13 | 27 | 22 | -8 | 5 | 6 |
| Not Known | 7 | 13 | 11 | -14 | -9 | -5 |
| Median | 20 | 21 | 16 |  |  |  |

Low student numbers for age cohorts 16-18 \& 19-24: Caribbean 17,38; Other Black 30,48

All but two of the ethnic groups showed drop-out rates within $5 \%$ of the median.

- Caribbean \& Asian-Other appeared to have an elevated drop-out rate.


## Gender

Male drop-out rates .

- $>5 \%$ above median: Asian-Other, Caribbean.
- $>5 \%$ below median: Black-Other, White-Scottish/English/Irish/Welsh.

Female drop-out rates.

- $>5 \%$ above median: Caribbean.
- $\quad>5 \%$ below median: Bangladeshi.

Male/Female drop-out rates were generally within $3 \%$ of each other (11 of 15).

Exceptions were Indian, Bangladeshi, Asian-Other and All-Other where drop-out rates were $5 \%$ or more higher for males than females.

## Age

There was no consistent pattern in drop-out percentages within ethnic groups when disaggregated for age.

Difference from median drop-out by age cohort.

16 to 18

- $\quad \geq 5 \%$ above median drop-out: White-Welsh, Indian, Asian-Other.
- $\geq 5 \%$ below median drop-out: Chinese, Bangladeshi, All-Other.

19 to 24

- $\geq 5 \%$ above median drop-out: Indian, African, All-Other.
- $\geq 5 \%$ below median drop-out: White-English, White-Irish.


## 25 to 64

- $\geq 5 \%$ above median drop-out: Chinese, Pakistani, Asian-Other, Caribbean, African, All-Other.
- $\quad \geq 5 \%$ below median drop-out: White-English.


## Qualification Sought

Data were provided by the Scottish Funding Council. The SQA kindly provided advice relating to the Scottish Credit Qualifications Framework (SCQF), though the groupings used in this study were entirely the responsibility of CRER.

Where gender preference comparisons are made they compare male to female percentages not raw numbers. For clarity the following example is provided:

## Example:

- The percentages of white Scottish males studying for each qualification were calculated, as were the percentages of white Scottish females.
- For a given qualification the Scottish male percentage (e.g. 15\%) was compared to the Scottish female percentage (e.g. 10\%).
- This provides a difference of 5\% (indicating a higher male preference). However, if the absolute numbers were to be compared there might be more female than male students (e.g. 100 female and 70 male students).


## SCQF levels

For the purposes of much of the analysis within the following section qualifications were grouped. They were grouped on the following basis:

- A: Level 8-11 (Requires more than one year of study or to have previously completed one year of study)
- B: Level 6-7 (Higher 'school' level study)
- C: Level 4-6
- D: No qualification or broadly $<3$

Each qualification was placed within a group as follows:

| Qualification Aim | SCQF Level | Group |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Masters (taught) | 11 | A |
| Postgraduate diploma | 11 | A |
| First Degree (honours) | 10 | A |
| First Degree (ordinary) | 9 | A |
| Fellowship of professional body | $10-12$ | A |
| Graduate of professional body | $10-11$ | A |
| Membership of professional body | $7-9$ | A |
| Associate of professional body | $6-8$ | A |
| SVQ or NVQ: Level 5 | 11 | A |
| Diploma (HNC/D level for diploma and degree holders) | 8 | A |
| HND or equivalent | 8 | A |
| HNC or equivalent | 7 | B |
| SVQ or NVQ: Level 4 | 8 | A |
| Advanced Certificate (bridge to HNC/D) | $7-8$ | B |
| Advanced Certificate not specified elsewhere | $7-8$ | B |
| Advanced Diploma not specified elsewhere | $7-8$ | B |
| Advanced Certificate (comprising HN units only) | $7-8$ | B |
| HN units only but not leading to certificate | $7-8$ | D |
| SVQ: Level 3 | $6-7$ | B |
| NVQ: Level 3 | $7-8$ | B |
| GSVQ/GNVQ: Level 3 | $7-8$ | B |
| SVQ: Level 2 | 5 | C |
| NVQ: Level 2 | 5 | C |
| GSVQ/GNVQ: Level 2 | 5 | C |
| SVQ: Level 1 | 4 | C |
| NVQ: Level 1 | 4 | C |


| Qualification Aim | SCQF Level | Group |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Advanced Higher (group award) | 7 | B |
| Higher (group award) | 6 | B |
| Intermediate 2 (group award) | 5 | C |
| Intermediate 1 (group award) | 4 | C |
| Access (group award) | $1-3$ | D |
| Highest level of study (unit) Advanced Higher | 7 | B |
| Highest level of study (unit) Higher | 6 | B |
| Highest level of study (unit) Intermediate 2 | 5 | C |
| Highest level of study (unit) Intermediate 1 | 4 | C |
| Highest level of study (unit) Access | $1-3$ | D |
| Other Non-Advanced Certificate or equivalent | $2-6$ | D |
| Other Non-Advanced Diploma or equivalent | $2-6$ | D |
| Other SCE/ GCE/ GCSE examination only |  | M |
| National Units alone | $1-6$ | D |
| Any other recognised qualification | $1-12$ | D |
| Programme not leading to recognised qualification |  | D |

(Note that group D includes higher level study which does not lead to a certificate)
Further details on the SCQF are available in the following document: http://www.sqa.org.uk/sqa/files_ccc/ B63338_SQA_A6_ready\%20reckoner.pdf

As group D was a rather miscellaneous group, a more detailed breakdown of students studying for qualifications within that group is included.

Subject Preference Within Group D Qualifications

| Level | Total \% | Median <br> $\%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HN units only but not leading to certificate | $7-8$ | 3 | 2 |
| Access (group award) | $1-3$ | 0 | 0 |
| Highest level of study (unit) Access | $1-3$ | 2 | 6 |
| Other Non-Advanced Certificate or equivalent | $2-6$ | 19 | 16 |
| Other Non-Advanced Diploma or equivalent | $2-6$ | 1 | 1 |
| National Units alone | $1-6$ | 15 | 15 |
| Any other recognised qualification | $1-12$ | 20 | 20 |
| Programme not leading to recognised qualification |  | 40 | 38 |

For "HN" units all ethnic groups were within $2 \%$ of the median, the exception being Indian (6\%)
For "any other recognised qualification" all groups within 5\% except Pakistani (29\%)

## Top 5 Preferred Qualifications and Percentage of Students by Ethnicity

|  | White <br> Scottish | White <br> English | White <br> Welsh | White <br> Irish | White <br> Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HND or equivalent | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| HNC or equivalent | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Highest level of study (unit) Higher | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| Highest level of study (unit) Access | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Other Non-Advanced Certificate or equiva- <br> lent | 12 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 9 |
| National Units alone | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 10 |
| Any other recognised qualification | 12 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 |
| Programme not leading to recognised qual- <br> ification | 23 | 27 | 26 | 30 | 27 |
| Total | 75 | 78 | 79 | 81 | 81 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mixed | Indian | Pakistani | Bangla- <br> deshi | Chi- <br> nese |
| HND or equivalent | 11 | 19 | 12 | 15 | 8 |
| HNC or equivalent | 9 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 6 |
| Highest level of study (unit) Higher | 9 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 6 |
| Highest level of study (unit) Access | 1 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| Other Non-Advanced Certificate or equiva- <br> lent | 8 | 14 | 6 | 9 | 7 |
| National Units alone | 8 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 15 |
| Any other recognised qualification | 11 | 13 | 17 | 8 | 12 |
| Programme not leading to recognised qual- <br> ification | 19 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 22 |
| Total | 77 | 83 | 83 | 84 | 86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other <br> Asian | Carib- <br> bean | African | Other <br> Black | Other |
| HND or equivalent | 7 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 5 |
| HNC or equivalent | 5 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 6 |
| Highest level of study (unit) Higher | 10 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 8 |
| Highest level of study (unit) Access | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Other Non-Advanced Certificate or equiva- <br> lent | 11 | 17 | 10 | 11 | 8 |
| National Units alone | 14 | 4 | 11 | 13 | 11 |
| Any other recognised qualification | 13 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 13 |
| Programme not leading to recognised qual- |  |  |  |  |  |
| ification | 19 | 19 | 23 | 21 | 28 |
| Total | 83 | 80 | 82 | 80 | 85 |

The table shows all of the qualifications which appeared in the top 5 preferences for all ethnic groups.
Highlighted cells show the top five preferences for the specified ethnic group.
Totals: provides the total for all of the options not only highlighted options.

## Education

Distribution Across Qualification Groups

|  | Percentage studying qualifications with group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |
| White Scottish | 8 | 21 | 13 | 59 |
| White English | 6 | 18 | 11 | 65 |
| White Welsh | 6 | 19 | 7 | 68 |
| White Irish | 7 | 18 | 8 | 67 |
| White Other | 6 | 16 | 12 | 66 |
| Mixed | 12 | 24 | 13 | 50 |
| Indian | 20 | 15 | 9 | 57 |
| Pakistani | 13 | 19 | 10 | 58 |
| Bangladeshi | 16 | 19 | 10 | 54 |
| Chinese | 10 | 15 | 9 | 66 |
| Asian Other | 7 | 18 | 12 | 62 |
| Caribbean | 9 | 19 | 14 | 58 |
| African | 9 | 19 | 11 | 61 |
| Black Other | 7 | 15 | 12 | 66 |
| All Other | 6 | 18 | 8 | 68 |
| Median | 8 | 18 | 11 | 62 |

Highlighted cells median $\pm 5$

Gender Preference vs. Qualification Group

|  |  | Percentage studying qualifications within group |  |  |  | Male - Female Difference within group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% A | \% B | \% C | \% D | \% A | \% B | \% C | \% D |
| White (Scottish) | Male | 8 | 22 | 12 | 58 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Female | 7 | 20 | 13 | 59 |  |  |  |  |
| White (English) | Male | 6 | 17 | 11 | 65 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Female | 5 | 18 | 11 | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| White (Welsh) | Male | 5 | 21 | 2 | 72 |  |  |  | 3 |
|  | Female | 7 | 15 | 9 | 69 |  |  |  |  |
| White (Irish) | Male | 7 | 17 | 8 | 67 |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Female | 7 | 18 | 7 | 68 |  |  |  |  |
| White Other | Male | 7 | 16 | 12 | 65 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Female | 5 | 16 | 12 | 66 |  |  |  |  |
| Mixed | Male | 14 | 21 | 12 | 53 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 3 |
|  | Female | 9 | 28 | 13 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| Indian | Male | 28 | 16 | 8 | 48 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 20 |
|  | Female | 9 | 13 | 10 | 68 |  |  |  |  |
| Pakistani | Male | 18 | 20 | 9 | 54 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
|  | Female | 8 | 18 | 11 | 62 |  |  |  |  |
| Bangladeshi | Male | 21 | 17 | 9 | 52 |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | Female | 10 | 20 | 11 | 59 |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese | Male | 14 | 18 | 9 | 60 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 12 |
|  | Female | 7 | 13 | 8 | 71 |  |  |  |  |
| Asian Other | Male | 8 | 19 | 13 | 60 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
|  | Female | 7 | 17 | 12 | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| Caribbean | Male | 10 | 15 | 14 | 61 |  |  | 4 | 3 |
|  | Female | 8 | 23 | 11 | 58 |  |  |  |  |
| African | Male | 12 | 18 | 11 | 60 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Female | 7 | 19 | 12 | 62 |  |  |  |  |
| Other black | Male | 8 | 15 | 6 | 71 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | Female | 5 | 10 | 14 | 70 |  |  |  |  |
| Other | Male | 7 | 17 | 6 | 69 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | Female | 4 | 18 | 10 | 68 |  |  |  |  |
| Not Known | Male | 8 | 18 | 5 | 69 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Female | 7 | 17 | 6 | 70 |  |  |  |  |

Where cell was $<50$ students (data in small font) differences not calculated
Percentages rounded to nearest whole number (but differences calculated from raw data)
Greater female preference / Greater male preference (where difference > $\pm 5$ ) Female ${ }^{2}$ Male

## Education

Gender Preference Within Group D

|  |  | Indian |  | Pakistani |  | Bangladeshi |  | Chinese |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| HN units but not <br> leading to certifi- <br> cate | $7-8$ | 9 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Access (group <br> award) | $1-3$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Highest level of <br> study (unit) Access | $1-3$ | 8 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 21 | 12 | 15 |
| Other Non- <br> Advanced Certifi- <br> cate or equivalent | $2-6$ | 23 | 25 | 13 | 8 | 22 | 10 | 13 | 9 |
| Other Non- <br> AdvancedDiploma <br> or equivalent | $2-6$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| National Units <br> alone | $1-6$ | 10 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 9 | 23 | 23 |
| Any other recog- <br> nised qualification | $1-12$ | 26 | 19 | 29 | 29 | 19 | 11 | 17 | 17 |
| Programme not <br> leading to recog- <br> nised qualification | 24 | 30 | 31 | 35 | 38 | 48 | 33 | 32 |  |

Table further examines gender preference within group $D$ for those ethnic groups that were identified as showing a female gender preference for group $D$ in the previous table.

## Qualification Preferences by Age Cohort

|  | Qualification Group |  |  |  | Qualification Group |  |  |  |  | Qualification Group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |  |  |
|  | $16-18$ |  |  |  | $19-24$ |  |  |  | $25-64$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| White Scottish | 7 | 27 | 20 | 45 | 15 | 29 | 12 | 44 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 72 |  |  |
| White English | 6 | 25 | 23 | 45 | 14 | 25 | 13 | 48 | 4 | 15 | 8 | 73 |  |  |
| White Irish | 7 | 29 | 10 | 54 | 15 | 26 | 12 | 47 | 5 | 15 | 6 | 75 |  |  |
| White Other | 6 | 18 | 20 | 56 | 12 | 18 | 12 | 58 | 5 | 15 | 11 | 69 |  |  |
| Mixed | 8 | 34 | 17 | 40 | 23 | 24 | 12 | 41 | 7 | 17 | 10 | 66 |  |  |
| Indian | 16 | 25 | 13 | 46 | 40 | 18 | 9 | 33 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 73 |  |  |
| Pakistani | 13 | 29 | 17 | 41 | 25 | 22 | 10 | 44 | 5 | 12 | 7 | 76 |  |  |
| Chinese | 14 | 26 | 6 | 54 | 22 | 22 | 4 | 52 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 76 |  |  |
| Asian Other | 6 | 26 | 28 | 40 | 16 | 26 | 13 | 46 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 71 |  |  |
| African | 11 | 26 | 18 | 44 | 14 | 25 | 13 | 47 | 8 | 16 | 10 | 67 |  |  |
| All Other | 6 | 24 | 14 | 56 | 12 | 19 | 6 | 62 | 4 | 16 | 7 | 72 |  |  |
| Median | 7 | 26 | 17 | 45 | 15 | 24 | 12 | 47 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 72 |  |  |

## Median +5

 Median -5 cohort, that cohort was deleted.An ethnic group was excluded from the analysis when two or more age cohorts were deleted.
Groups excluded: Welsh, Bangladeshi, Caribbean, Black-Other

## Top Five Choices

Of 43 qualification options, eight formed the top five preferences for all ethnic groups.

No ethnic group had less than $75 \%$ of its students contained within these eight qualifications.

## White categories \&All-Other:

- HND not in top 5 choices.
- Combination studying at HNC/D level 11-14\%.
- 23-30\% on programme not leading to recognised qualification.

Mixed, Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi:

- HND in top 5 choices.
- Combination studying at HNC/D level 20-26\%.
- $16-23 \%$ on programme not leading to recognised qualification.

Chinese:

- HND in top five choices.
- Combination studying at HNC/D level $14 \%$.
- $22 \%$ on programme not leading to recognised qualification.

Caribbean, Black African:

- HND in top 5 choices.
- Combination studying at HNC/D level 17-18\%.
- 19-23\% on programme not leading to recognised qualification.

Black-Other:

- HND in top 5 choices.
- Combination studying at HNC/D level $12 \%$.
- $21 \%$ on programme not leading to recognised qualification.


## Distribution Across Groups

Relative percentages of students studying at each of the four groups of qualifications. Ethnic groups are identified where the percentage of that ethnic group working towards a given group of qualifications lay outwith $5 \%$ of the median.

A (SCQF Level 8-11):

- $\quad \geq 5 \%$ above median: Indian, Pakistani \& Bangladeshi.
- $\quad \geq 5 \%$ below median: none.

B (SCQF Level 6-7)

- $\quad \geq 5 \%$ above median: mixed.
- $\quad \geq 5 \%$ below median: none.

C (SCQF Level 4-6)

- $\quad \geq 5 \%$ above median: none.
- $\quad \geq 5 \%$ below median: none.

D (SCQF Level 1-3 or no qualification)

- $\quad \geq 5 \%$ above median: White-Welsh, White-Irish, All-Other.
- $\quad \geq 5 \%$ below median: Mixed, Indian, Bangladeshi.


## Gender

(Note that group D includes higher level study which does not lead to a certificate).

The following section identifies qualification groups for which one gender shows a greater preference.

Male gender preference (Difference > 5\%).

- Group A: Indian, Pakistani, Chinese, Black African.

Female gender preference (Difference > 5\%).

- Group B: Mixed.
- Group D: Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Chinese.
$\Rightarrow$ Females from the above ethnic groups show inter-group variation in qualification type.
$\Rightarrow \quad$ There was no indication in the above female gender data that any of the ethnic groups were consistently selecting for the higher academic qualifications within Group $D$ (when compared to overall median percentages for group D - see SCQF levels).

Age
(Note that group D includes higher level study which does not lead to a certificate).

The following section examines the selection of qualification groups by age cohort. Ethnic groups are identified where the percentage of that ethnic group working towards a given group of qualifications lay outwith $5 \%$ of the median.

## Education

## 16-18 Cohort

A:
$\geq 5 \%$ above median: Indian, Chinese, Pakistani.
B:
$\geq 5 \%$ above median: Mixed.
$\geq 5 \%$ below median: Other White.

C:
$\geq 5 \%$ above median: White-English, Asian-Other.
$\geq 5 \%$ below median: White-Irish, Chinese.

D:
$\geq 5 \%$ above median: White-Irish, White-Other, Chinese, All-Other.
$\geq 5 \%$ below median: Mixed.

## 19-24 Cohort

A:
$\geq 5 \%$ above median: Mixed, Indian, Pakistani, Chinese.
B:
$\geq 5 \%$ below median: White-Other, Indian.
C:
$\geq 5 \%$ below median: Chinese, All-Other.
D:
$\geq 5 \%$ above median: White-Other, Chinese, All-Other.
$\geq 5 \%$ below median: Mixed, Indian.

## 25-64 Cohort

D:
$\geq 5 \%$ below median: Mixed, African.

## Dominant Programme Group

The data were provided by the Scottish Funding Council. Gender preferences were calculated as in the previous section. Thus gender preferences merely reflect the relative percentages within each gender; a course may be marked as having a male preference but with a greater number of females attending the course.

For clarity the following example is provided:

The percentages of white Scottish males studying for each qualification were calculated, as were the percentages of white Scottish females.

For a given qualification the Scottish male percentage (e.g. 15\%) was compared to the Scottish female percentage (e.g. 10\%).

This provides a difference of $5 \%$ (indicating a higher male preference). However, if the absolute numbers were to be compared there might be more female than male students (e.g. 100 female and 70 male students).
Dominant Programme Group－Ethnic Preferences

| Median | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\stackrel{+}{+}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{i}{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\circ} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \stackrel{L}{m} \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{+}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{m}{r}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{~}$ | $\underset{\stackrel{~}{\grave{N}}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\hat{m} \mid$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not Known | － | $\wedge$ | $\checkmark$ | 은 | m | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$ | F | $\sim$ | － | 0 | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{10}{\sim}$ | ＊ | m | $\sim$ | m |
| All Other | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | $\llcorner$ | $\mp$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ | $\infty$ | $\sim$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | の | $\checkmark$ | N | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $F$ |
| Black Other | － | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{ }{\sim}$ | m | m | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{10}{\sim}$ | O | $\sim$ | O | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | N | 10 | m | $\checkmark$ |  |
| African | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ | － | $\stackrel{\sim}{*}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{-}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ナ | ～ | N | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ |
| Caribbean | $\bigcirc$ | 入 | 入 |  | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\sim$ | 10 | － | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 아 | － | m | ナ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Asian Other | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | の | $\checkmark$ | m | $\sim$ | の | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\sim$ | － | 안 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | － | $\stackrel{\text { 안 }}{ }$ |
| Chinese | N | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\wedge$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | N | 0 | $\checkmark$ | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc$ | ¢ | $\sim$ | － | － |  |
| Bangladeshi | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\square}{F}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | の | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | 0 | $\checkmark$ | m | $\bigcirc$ | m | $\checkmark$ | $\bar{m}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\square}{F}$ |
| Pakistani | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | － | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | N | N | $\cdots$ | $\Sigma$ | $\checkmark$ | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | N | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ |
| Indian | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{10}{\sim}$ | $\ulcorner$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\checkmark$ | m | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | － | N | ナ | $\wedge$ |
| Mixed | $\ulcorner$ | の | $\checkmark$ | 은 | m | $\sim$ | 15 | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | $\sim$ | － | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{10}{\sim}$ | m | － | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| White Other | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\infty$ | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | の | $\checkmark$ | م | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | N | フ | $\sim$ | m | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| White（Irish） | m | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ | － | の | － | $\stackrel{ }{\sim}$ | N | m | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ | $\checkmark$ | 은 | 10 | ल | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ |
| White（Welsh） | $\checkmark$ | 入 | ナ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ | 10 | の | m | $\stackrel{10}{\square}$ | $\checkmark$ | م | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | 10 | ＊ | $m$ | $\pm$ |
| White（English） | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bullet$ | － | 은 | － | $\div$ | N | － | $\bigcirc$ | の | $\checkmark$ | 은 | $\bigcirc$ | の | N | $\pm$ |
| White （Scottish） | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 入 | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\checkmark$ | m | $\bigcirc$ | m | － | $\sigma$ | $\llcorner$ | － | $\sim$ | 入 |
|  |  |  |  | 6u！̣nduos |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{c}{9} \\ & \hdashline ⿹ 勹 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\sqrt{0}} \\ & \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{0}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 는 3 $\vdots$ $\vdots$ 0 0 |  |  |  |

[^0]Dominant Programme Group by Gender Preference

|  | White <br> (Scottish) | White <br> (English) | White <br> (Irish) | White <br> Other | Mixed | Indian | Pakistani | Chinese | Asian <br> Other | African | All Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture \& Horticul- <br> ture | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Business Management | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Food Technology \& Ca- <br> tering | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Computing | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Construction | 11 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Art \& Design | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Engineering | 13 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 3 |
| Health | 15 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| Minerals \& Materials | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Personal Development | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Printing | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Science \& Maths | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Office \& Secretarial | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Social Studies | 5 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| Social Work | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Sport \& Recreation | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Transport | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Special Programmes | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

Values represent difference between male and female student percentages. Note percentages are within gender percentages and do not represent male:female balance.
Some ethnic groups excluded due to low student numbers
Greater Male Preference 0-2\%, 2-4\%, $>4 \% /$ Greater Female Preference 0-2\%, 2-4\%, $>4 \%$
Example: If $54 \%$ of females study course $X$ and $56 \%$ of males the cell has a value of 2

## Education

## 16-18 cohort Course Preference

|  | White <br> (Scottish) | White <br> (English) | White Other | Pakistani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture \& Horticulture | 2 | 6 | 3 | 0 |
| Business Management | 5 | 3 | 6 | 16 |
| Food Technology \& Catering | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| Computing | 7 | 7 | 9 | 13 |
| Construction | 9 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Art \& Design | 9 | 11 | 9 | 2 |
| Engineering | 8 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| Health | 17 | 18 | 8 | 10 |
| Minerals \& Materials | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Personal Development | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Printing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Science \& Maths | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| Office \& Secretarial | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Social Studies | 10 | 12 | 31 | 16 |
| Social Work | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Sport \& Recreation | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 |
| Transport | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Special Programmes | 8 | 6 | 6 | 9 |

[^1]
## 19-24 Cohort Course Preference

|  | White <br> Scottish | White <br> English | White <br> Other | Indian | Pakistani | Asian <br> Other | African | Median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture \& Horticul- <br> ture | 2 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Business Management | 7 | 6 | 8 | 17 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 11 |
|  <br> Catering | 4 | 6 | 3 | 22 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 4 |
| Computing | 8 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 11 | 15 | 10 |
| Construction | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Art \& Design | 8 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Engineering | 10 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| Health | 16 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 8 |
| Minerals \& Materials | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Personal Development | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 4 |
| Printing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Science \& Maths | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 3 |
| Office \& Secretarial | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Social Studies | 9 | 10 | 40 | 18 | 20 | 33 | 21 | 20 |
| Social Work | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Sport \& Recreation | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Transport | 3 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Special Programmes | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Values are percentages
Median - 5

| Median | $\leftharpoondown$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | m | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | m | N | － | の |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $F$ | $\checkmark$ | m | $\checkmark$ | $\infty$ | $\sim$ | 은 | 0 | N | $\checkmark$ | ๒ | m | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| African | $\bigcirc$ | $\wedge$ | ナ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | m | $\stackrel{10}{\square}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | m | $\checkmark$ | N | م | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | の |
| Asian Other | 0 | $\checkmark$ | $\bullet$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\checkmark$ | F | 0 | $\wedge$ | 0 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\text { \％}}{ }$ | m | － | $\bigcirc$ | $F$ |
| Chinese | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | ＊ | $\infty$ | $\checkmark$ | m | $\checkmark$ | N | $\checkmark$ | の | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\checkmark$ | 寸 | $\ulcorner$ | － | 0 | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ |
| Pakistani | $\bigcirc$ | $\llcorner$ | $\llcorner$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 꿍 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\underset{\sim}{*}$ |
| Indian | 0 | 은 | $\pm$ | の | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$ | $\checkmark$ | m | 0 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | へ | － | $\sim$ | $\infty$ |
| Mixed | $\checkmark$ | 은 | 10 | $\stackrel{ }{\sim}$ | m | $\infty$ | m | $\stackrel{\square}{\bullet}$ | $\sim$ | $\bullet$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | m | $\sim$ | N | ＊ |
| White Other | $\ulcorner$ | $\bullet$ | ＊ | $\infty$ | － | 10 | $\sim$ | 은 | － | $\omega$ | 0 | $\checkmark$ | N | 寸 | m | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | ＊ |
| White Irish | $\sim$ | $\bullet$ | 入 | $\stackrel{\square}{\bullet}$ | m | $\infty$ | m | の | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | $\checkmark$ | $m$ | $\checkmark$ | 은 | N | ＊ | $\checkmark$ | $\cdots$ |
| White English | － | $\wedge$ | $\bullet$ | ㅊ | m | $\infty$ | m | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\sim$ | $1 \sim$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | $\checkmark$ | 은 | N | N | N | $m$ |
| White Scottish | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bullet$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\bullet}$ | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | $\ulcorner$ | $\checkmark$ | 0 | N | $\checkmark$ | $\infty$ | N | N | $\sim$ | N |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{2}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{-}{0} \\ & \ddot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{\frac{5}{0}} \\ & \frac{0}{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]
## Notes

When examining data disaggregated by gender or age, ethnic groups with fewer than 450 students in either gender or age cohort were excluded from the analysis (this allows a potential minimum of 25 students per cell).

## Gender

Excluded: White-Welsh, Bangladeshi, Caribbean.

## Age

16-18 included: White-Scottish/English/Other, Pakistani.
19-24 included: White-Scottish/English/Other, Indian, Pakistani, Asian-Other, African.
25-64 excluded: White-Welsh, Bangladeshi, Caribbean, Black-Other.
65+ excluded: Only White Scottish meet analysis requirements, this age cohort was dropped from the analysis.

There was variation between ethnic groups in course preference. Variation was greatest on the following courses:

- Business Management $\geq 5 \%$ above median: Indian.
- Food Technology \& Catering $\geq 5 \%$ above median: Indian, Bangladeshi.
- Computing $\geq 5 \%$ above median: White-English, White-Irish, African.
- Art \& Design
$\geq 5 \%$ above median: Mixed.
- Health
$\geq 5 \%$ above median: White-Scottish.
$\geq 5 \%$ below median: Bangladeshi, Chinese.
- Social Work
$\geq 5 \%$ above median: White-Other, Bangladeshi, Chinese, Asian-Other, All-Other.
$\geq 5 \%$ below median: White-Scottish, White-English, White-Welsh, WhiteIrish, Mixed.


## Gender

There was gender variation within ethnic groups for selection of dominant programme.

When measuring gender variation in selection at greater than $2 \%$ the following courses demonstrated a gender bias of more than six ethnic groups in favour of one gender.

- Male: Transport, Construction, Engineering.
- Female: Health, Social Studies, Social Work.

Where a bias in preference of $>4 \%$ was observed between genders within any one ethnic group then that gender bias was consistent in direction across all ethnic groups.

- Male: Engineering.
- Female: Health, Social Studies.

Variation in gender preference where percentage difference $> \pm 5$.

- Business Management: $\mathrm{M}+$ : Pakistani.
- Food Technology \& Catering:

M+: Indian.

- Computing:
$\mathrm{M}+$ : Pakistani.
- Construction:

M+: White-Scottish, White-English, White-Irish, Mixed.

- Engineering:

M+: White-Scottish, White-English, White-Irish, White-Other, Mixed, Pakistani, Chinese, African.

- Health:

F+: White-Scottish, White-English, White-Irish, Mixed, Indian, Pakistani, Asian-Other.

- Social Studies:

F+: White-Scottish, White-English, White-Other, Mixed, Indian, Pakistani, Chinese, All-Other.

- Social Work:

F+: White-Scottish, White-English.

- Transport:

M+: Indian.

## Age

Within each age cohort there were differences in programme selection between ethnic groups. There was similarity in ethnic group course preferences between age cohorts.

16-18 cohort (higher percentages studying specified dominant groups, the median was not calculated due to restricted sample size).

- W-Scottish: Health, Art \& Design, Construction.
- W-English: Health, Art \& Design.
- W-Other: Social Studies, Art \& Design.
- Pakistani: Business Management, Science \& Mathematics, Computing.

19-24 Cohort (5\% difference from median).

- White-Scottish: Health, (-ve Social Studies).
- White-English: Agriculture, Art \& Design, (-ve Social Studies).
- White-Other: Social Studies.
- Indian: Business Management, Food Technology \& Catering.
- Pakistani: Business Management.
- Asian-Other: Social Studies, Food Technology \& Catering.
- African: Computing.

25-64 Cohort (5\% difference from median).

- White-Scottish: Health, (-ve Social Studies).
- White-English: Computing, Art \& Design(-ve Social Studies, Special Programmes).
- White-Irish: (-ve Social Studies, Special Programmes).
- White-Other: Social Studies, (-ve Health).
- Indian: Food Technology \& Catering.
- Pakistani: Social Studies.
- Chinese: Social Studies, (-ve Health).
- Asian-Other: Social Studies.
- African: Computing.
- Other - Social Studies, (-ve Health).


## Higher Education

## Introduction

The data were provided by the SFC and covered undergraduate students for the academic year 2010-11. They were restricted to students who were resident in Scotland prior to starting their course.

Due to the lower numbers of students, in comparison with either school pupil or FE student numbers, the ethnic categories have been aggregated into four general categories.

Numbers

HE Students

|  |  | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbers | Of Total | Of Known | Of BME |
| White | 160,525 | 91.9 | 94.5 |  |
| Black | 1,635 | 0.9 | 1 | 17.5 |
| Asian | 5,220 | 3 | 3.1 | 55.9 |
| Mixed | 1,850 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 19.8 |
| Other | 640 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 6.8 |
| Not Known | 4,780 | 2.7 |  |  |
| Total Inc. Not Known | 174,650 |  |  |  |
| Total Excl. Not Known | 169,870 |  |  |  |

FE students

|  |  | Percentages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbers | Of Total | Of Known | of BME |
| White | 237,215 | 92.8 | 94.1 |  |
| Black | 3,675 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 24.6 |
| Asian | 8,762 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 58.7 |
| Mixed | 1,164 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 7.8 |
| Other | 1,330 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 8.9 |
| Not Known | 3,471 | 1.4 |  |  |
| Total Inc. Not Known | 255,617 |  |  |  |
| Total Excl. Not Known | 252,146 |  |  |  |

## Notes:

HE student unknowns almost double those of FE

The percentage of white students appeared consistent across FE and HE in 2010-11

Within the BME groups HE had a lower proportion of Black and Asian students and a higher proportion of mixed students.

## Drop-outs

Drop-outs were defined by the SFC as students who attended an HEI in 2009-10 but did not attend an HEI institution in 2010-11 and did not graduate in 2009-10.

Drop-outs are divided into two categories, First Degree and Other undergraduate qualification at HE level (e.g. HND).

Drop-out rates for FE were for all students, and not restricted to students studying HE courses at FE institutions. The very different nature of FE and HE courses make comparison of the two sets of drop-out rates unreliable. This should be borne in mind when considering the data presented below.

Undergraduate Drop-out Numbers for HE

|  | Total | First Degree | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 3,535 | 2,700 | 835 |
| Black | 45 | 40 | 5 |
| Asian | 100 | 90 | 10 |
| Mixed | 45 | 35 | 5 |
| Other | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Total BME | 200 | 175 | 25 |
| Not Known | 185 | 150 | 35 |
| All Students | 3,920 | 3,025 | 895 |

## Undergraduate Drop-out Rates for HE \& FE 2010-11

|  | Total | First Degree | Other | FE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | $12.1 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |
| Black | $16.9 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ |  | $22.2 \%$ |
| Asian | $9.4 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ |
| Mixed | $10.8 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| Other | $12.4 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |  | $21.4 \%$ |
| Total BME | $10.9 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |
| Not Known | $25.0 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| All Students | $12.3 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ |

Percentages were supplied by SFC from raw data
Due to low numbers Black \&All-Other drop-out percentage in the HE Other category were not calculated
Drop-out rates ranked by HE Total Drop-out Percentages

|  | HE |  |  | FE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | First Degree | Other |  |
| White | $12.1 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |
| Black | $16.9 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ |  | $22.2 \%$ |
| Asian | $9.4 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ |
| Mixed | $10.8 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| Other | $12.4 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |  | $21.4 \%$ |

Notes:
For the purposes of data protection, the SFC rounded figures to the nearest
5. Totals have been rounded independently and might not equal the sum of their components.

Percentage data supplied by SFC were calculated from raw numbers prior to rounding for data protection purposes.

HE "Other" degrees have an elevated drop-out rate in comparison to "First Degree".

- "Other" degrees generally had a drop-out rate at least double that of first degrees.

HE "First Degree" drop-out rates were higher for the Black and All-Other categories.

The ranking of drop-out rates is not consistent between HE and FE, though:

- Black students had the highest drop-out rates in both HE and FE.
- Other students had the second highest drop-out rates in both HE and FE

FE drop-out rates were always higher than total drop-out rates for HE, and were from $50 \%$ to $250 \%$ higher than HE "First Degree" drop-out rates.

- Mixed \& Asian showed the greatest difference in drop-out rates between HE and FE institutions.


## Subject

The following section considers subject preference within and between ethnic categories.

Interdisciplinary programmes are ones which do not lead to a qualification in specific subject(s).

A student studying for a qualification in a combination of subject(s) is counted against all of the broad subject groups associated with these subjects. Each student counts for a total of 1 , with students studying for a qualification in a combination of subjects being counted as a fraction against each of the relevant broad subject groups, with the fractions being dependent on the number of subjects in the combination and the type of combination of subjects.

## Within Ethnic Group Subject Preference

|  | White | Black | Asian | Mixed | Other | Not <br> Known | Median |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical and Related | $16.7 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |
| Science and Engineering | $27.8 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ |
| Business and Social Stud- <br> ies | $24.4 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ |
| Education and Arts | $22.3 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Interdisciplinary Pro- <br> grammes | $8.8 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |

The two most preferred subject groups were similar across ethnicities:

- White/Mixed/Other: Science and Engineering (2 $2^{\text {nd }}$ Business \& Social Studies).
- Black/Asian: Business \& Social Studies (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Science \& Engineering).

The greatest degree of difference in subject group preference was in Education \& the Arts.

- Black \& Asian categories had relatively low percentages selecting this subject group.

All subject groups showed a degree of variation in preference across ethnicities.

- Medical and Related: Mixed ethnic category had a relatively low percentage selecting this option.
- Science \& Engineering : White ethnic category had the lowest percentage selecting this option.
- Business \& Social Studies: Black \& Asian categories had relatively high percentages and White lower percentages studying this option.
- Education \& the Arts: Black \& Asian categories had relatively low percentages selecting this option. White had the highest percentage selecting this option.


## Qualifications

Qualification Level \& Student Numbers

|  | White | Black | Asian | Mixed | Other | Not <br> Known | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Research Postgraduate | 960 | 5 | 40 | 10 | 15 | 65 | 1,095 |
| Taught Postgraduate | 7,455 | 150 | 365 | 100 | 50 | 615 | 8,730 |
| First Degree | 20,765 | 150 | 735 | 255 | 60 | 705 | 22,665 |
| HNC/HND/Certificate/Diploma <br> of HE | 3,995 | 35 | 90 | 30 | 10 | 345 | 4,500 |
| Other HE level qualification | 2,270 | 15 | 35 | 15 | 10 | 195 | 2,540 |
| Other FE level qualification | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Totals | 35,450 | 350 | 1,265 | 410 | 140 | 1,925 |  |

Intra-Ethnic Qualification Distribution

|  | White | Black | Asian | Mixed | Other | Not <br> Known | Median |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Research Postgraduate | $2.7 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Taught Postgraduate | $21.0 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ |
| First Degree | $58.6 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ |
| HNC/HND/Certificate/Diploma of <br> HE | $11.3 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| Other HE level qualification | $6.4 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| Other HE level qualification | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

White had a relatively lower percentage of students at postgraduate level and the highest percentage of students studying below undergraduate degree level. Mixed had a relatively low percentage of students studying at postgraduate level.

Black and All-Other had the highest percentages of students at postgraduate level.

- Other had a high percentage at Research Postgraduate level.
- Black had a high percentage at Taught Postgraduate level.
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